

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,435	07/24/2001	Andrea Cigada	854063.654	4844	
500	7590 09/13/2004		EXAM	INER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC - 701 FIFTH AVE			VAN, QL	VAN, QUANG T	
SUITE 6300	VL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			3742		

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/912,435	CIGADA ET AL.			
nance, y neuen	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quang T Van	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on 23 March 2004 is a	a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	. , , ,				
		Luandlan			
		Quang T Van Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 10. Other: In claim 1, applicant only claimed "a noble gas ion plasma" which does not preclude that the noble gas ion plasma is obtained in the presence of a pure noble gas. Therefore, for the broadest interpretation, "an oxygen and argon plasma atmosphere" as disclosed in Linn's reference still meet the claimed limitations.